IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCKHILL DIVISION

Abdullah Mallick Holmes,)	
)	C.A. No. 0:11-CV-01325-JMC
Plaintiff,	
v.)	ORDER
Dorchester County Detention Center;)	
T.A. Van Doran, Detention Director;	
Richard Darling, Operations Lieutenant,,)	
Defendants.)	

The *pro se* plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 8], filed on June 29, 2011, recommends that the court summarily dismiss the Complaint as to Defendant "Dorchester County Detention Center." The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's recommendation without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 8, at 4]. However, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation.

0:11-cv-01325-JMC Date Filed 08/08/11 Entry Number 16 Page 2 of 2

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this

court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is

no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v.

Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005)(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory

committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report and

Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District

Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140

(1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th

Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the

court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint in the above-captioned case as to Defendant

Dorchester County Detention Center is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and

service of process for this Defendant. Furthermore, the Complaint is to be served on the remaining

Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ J. Michelle Childs

United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina August 8, 2011